Experiment on Dust Flux During Duststorm Periods over Desert Area
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ABSTRACT

The present study investigates the characteristics of turbulent transfer and the conditions for dust emission and transport using the dust concentration and micrometeorological data obtained during dust events occurring in the spring of 2004 over the Hunshandake desert area. The turbulent exchange coefficients and turbulent fluxes of momentum and heat are calculated. The relationships between dust flux, friction velocity, and wind speed are also explored. The results show that thermal turbulence is dominant during daytime of non-dusty days. The dynamic turbulence increases obviously and the sensible heat flux reduces by different degrees during dust events. There is an efficient downward transfer of momentum before duststorm occurrence, and both the dynamic turbulence and the thermal turbulence are important in the surface layer. The dynamic turbulence even exceeds the thermal turbulence during severe duststorm events. The values of dust flux vary in the range of 5–5.30, 200–300 g m⁻² s⁻¹ during non-dusty days, blowing dust, and duststorm events, respectively. A slight upward transport of dust is observed during non-dusty days. The dust flux gradually varies from positive to negative during duststorm periods, which indicates the time evolution of dust events from dust rising to stably suspending and then deposition. The dust flux is found to be proportional to u³. The threshold values of wind speed and friction velocity are about 6 and 0.4 m s⁻¹, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Duststorm is a kind of severe weather phenomenon occurring over arid and semi-arid regions. Large quantities of studies on desertification, the temporal and spacial distributions of duststorms, their generation, structure, and monitoring have been carried out since the last century (Hankin, 1921; Idso et al., 1972; Josep et al., 1980). And our understanding on many aspects of duststorm has increased significantly over the past decades, such as mechanisms for dust generation, the atmospheric circulation patterns, temporal and spacial distributions, predictions and simulations of dust events, the physical and chemical properties of dust particles, estimates of dust emission, transport and deposition, etc. (Chun et al., 2001; Fung et al., 1997; Goutorbe et al., 1994; In and Park, 2003; Liu et al., 2004; Niu and Zhang, 2002; Pye, 1987; Shao, 2005; Westphal et al., 1988; Zhang et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 1999). Although the precision of the input parameters of dust emission models has been greatly improved due to the improvement of the observations in recent years, large uncertainties on quantitative calculations of dust emission fluxes remain for the lack of direct measurements of dust emission in dust source areas, which limits the further development of dust...
models (Laurent et al., 2006). The occurrence of dust-storms and transport of dust aerosols are closely linked to micrometeorological conditions. The energy and momentum exchanges between the earth’s surface and the atmosphere are major contributors to dust uplifting. Studies on the relationships between dust rising and micrometeorological conditions would help in understanding the mechanisms of dust generation and transport. By now, there have been few studies on quantitative measurements of dust flux.

The Hunshandake desert area located in XilinGol of Inner-Mongolia is one of the major dust sources in China. Strong winds prevail in this arid area. It lies in the pathway of the southward transport of dust plumes originating from the east of mid-Mongolia (Qian et al., 2006), resulting in the frequent occurrence of dust events there (Wang et al., 2002a, b). Based on the data obtained during the IOP (intensive observation period) of duststorm events over the Hunshandake desert area in the spring of 2004, this paper investigates the characteristics of turbulent transfer, and the conditions for dust emission and transport over sand surface. The vertical dust flux is estimated by using the aerodynamic method. The relationships between dust flux, friction velocity, and wind speed are also explored.

2. Site and data

The observational site is located at the south edge of the Hunshandake desert area, with an average elevation of 1250 m. About 10 km to the east of the site is the agricultural crop field. Instruments are installed on a monitoring tower of 20-m high. The observational variables include wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and humidity at 4 levels, respectively, as well as radiation components and dust mass concentration. The turbulent fluctuations of wind velocity, temperature, and dust concentration are also documented. The data are recorded automatically and continuously for 24 h, and averaged over 30 min after the strict quality control.

3. Theory

According to the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, the turbulent parameters can be calculated from the gradients of wind speed, temperature, and humidity as

\begin{align}
    u_* &= \kappa \pi_2 / \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z_2}{z_0} \right) - \Psi_M \left( \frac{z_2}{L} \right) \right], \\
    \theta_* &= \kappa (\overline{\theta}_2 - \overline{\theta}_1) / \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) - \Psi_H \left( \frac{z_2}{L} \right) + \Psi_H \left( \frac{z_1}{L} \right) \right], \\
    q_* &= \kappa (\overline{q}_2 - \overline{q}_1) / \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) - \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_2}{L} \right) + \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_1}{L} \right) \right],
\end{align}

where \( u_* \), \( \theta_* \), and \( q_* \) are friction velocity, temperature scale, and specific humidity scale for the surface layer, respectively; \( \pi \) is the wind speed at \( z \) level; \( \overline{\theta}_1 \), \( \overline{\theta}_2 \) and \( \overline{\theta}_1 \), \( \overline{\theta}_2 \) are potential temperatures and specific humidities at \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) levels, respectively; \( L \) is the Obukhov length; \( \kappa = 0.4 \) is the Von-Karman constant; \( \Psi_M \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \), \( \Psi_H \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \), and \( \Psi_q \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \) are stability correction functions for velocity, potential temperature, and specific humidity, respectively, and they have the following forms

\begin{align}
    \Psi_M &= \begin{cases} 
        2 \ln \left( \frac{1 + x}{2} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{1 + x^2}{2} \right), & z < 0 \\
        -2 \ln \theta + \frac{x}{2}, & \frac{z}{L} < 0 \end{cases} \\
    \Psi_H &= \Psi_q = \begin{cases} 
        2 \ln \left( \frac{1 + x^2}{2} \right), & \frac{z}{L} < 0 \\
        -5 \frac{z}{L}, & \frac{z}{L} \geq 0
    \end{cases}
\end{align}

where \( x = (1 - 16 \frac{z}{L^3})^{\frac{1}{4}} \).

Suppose the turbulent characteristic of dust mass concentration is similar to that of temperature and humidity under the hypothesis that dust mass concentration is a scalar during the same dust episode and at a certain area. A dust mass concentration scale can be defined as

\[ \gamma_* = \kappa (\overline{\gamma}_2 - \overline{\gamma}_1) / \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) - \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_2}{L} \right) + \Psi_q \left( \frac{z_1}{L} \right) \right], \]

where \( \overline{\gamma}_1 \) and \( \overline{\gamma}_2 \) are the dust mass concentrations at \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) levels, and \( \Psi_q \left( \frac{z}{L} \right) \) is the stability correction function for dust concentration in the form

\[ \Psi_q = \Psi_H = \Psi_q. \]

Similarly to the sensible heat flux and latent heat flux, the dust flux can be defined as

\[ F = \alpha u_* \gamma_*. \]
4. Results

Figure 1 shows the time evolution of dust concentration during two dust events occurring in March 15–16 and 27–28, 2004 over the Hunshandake desert area. It was clear that during March 12–13 and 24–25, the maximum wind speed is less than 6 m s\(^{-1}\), and the mean dust concentration is around 40 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\), which is considered as the background dust concentration on non-dusty days. The mean dust concentration on blowing dust days during March 15–16 is 120 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\), and the maximum value is about 200 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\). During March 27–28, the peak value of dust concentration is higher than 1000 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\), and the averaged values on March 27 and 28 are 750 and 410 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\), respectively. The dust concentration during the blowing dust events of March 15–16 and the duststorm event on March 28 follows a three fold increasing relationship reported by Qian et al. (2006). The mean dust concentration on March 27 is lower than the results by Qian et al. (2006), which may be due to the upper limit of the dust sampler being set to 1000 \(\mu g\) m\(^{-3}\).

4.1 Characteristics of turbulent transfer in the surface layer

Figure 2 illustrates the temporal variations of the turbulent exchange coefficients for momentum (\(K_M\)) and heat (\(K_H\)) during March 12–17 and 24–29 over the Hunshandake desert area. Both \(K_M\) and \(K_H\) show obvious diurnal variations. The peak values of \(K_M\) and \(K_H\) usually appear near noon on non-dusty days, with values 0.3 and 1.0 m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. \(K_M\) is smaller than \(K_H\), implying that the thermal turbulence is greater than the dynamic turbulence during daytime of non-dusty days over the Hunshandake desert area, the turbulent exchange of heat is dominant. This agrees with the results obtained by Sun et al. (2002) over desert areas. During blowing dust days of March 15–16, the peak values of \(K_M\) and \(K_H\) occur around 1530 BT, which lag behind non-dusty days, with values 0.92 and 1.0 m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively.
In this case, the thermal turbulence is slightly larger than the dynamic turbulence. During the severe dust-storm period of March 27, the maximum values of $K_M$ and $K_H$ are 1.1 and 1.0 m$^2$s$^{-1}$ at 1600 and 1200 BT, respectively. The value of $K_M/K_H$ is larger than 1.0 at 3 h before the onset of duststorm, 1300–1600 BT. The maximum value even reaches 1.2, which indicates that there is an efficient downward transfer of momentum before the duststorm occurrence and the dynamic turbulence is greater than the thermal turbulence.

Figure 3 shows the time series of momentum flux during March 12–17 and 24–29 over the Hunshandake desert area. The momentum flux in the surface layer is small on non-dusty days, with peak values smaller than 0.3 N m$^{-2}$. The momentum flux increases significantly during blowing dust periods, with the peak value 0.8 N m$^{-2}$. The maximum values of momentum flux reach 0.7 and 0.9 N m$^{-2}$ during duststorm events on March 27 and 28. Sun et al. (2002) estimated that the peak values of momentum flux over desert areas are 0.39, 1.08, and 1.45 N m$^{-2}$ under the weather conditions of clear, blowing dust, and dust-storm, respectively, which are larger than the values calculated over the Hunshandake desert area. This implies that smaller wind shear can cause dust emission over the Hunshandake desert area. Wang et al. (2004) estimated dust fluxes and elemental concentration of the aeolian dust from different landscape types in the northwestern China, and concluded that the dried terminal lakebed and degraded grasslands contributed the greater quantity of aeolian dust than the sandy desert land.

Figure 4 shows the time evolution of net radiation and sensible heat flux during March 12–18 and 24–30 over the Hunshandake desert area. The peak values of net radiation and sensible heat flux under non-dusty weather conditions are 395 and 290 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. During blowing dust events, the values of net radiation and sensible heat flux decrease by different degrees, with the peak values 162 and 97 W m$^{-2}$, respectively. The maximum values of net radiation and sensible heat flux are 330 and 240 W m$^{-2}$ respectively during duststorm events. In comparison with the blowing dust events occurring during March 15–16 and duststorm events during March 27–28, the reduction of net radiation and sensible heat flux on
blowing dust days is more significant than that on duststorm days. This is due to the different weather conditions during the dust events. It was cloudy on blowing dust days, while clear on duststorm days. On the other hand, the blowing dust persists for long time, including noon and afternoon, when the solar radiation and sensible heat exchange are intense. While the onset of the duststorm event on March 27 began near sunset and the dust episode on March 28 was relatively short lived, therefore the reduction of net radiation and sensible heat flux was not so obvious as that on the blowing dust days.

4.2 Estimation of dust flux

The temporal variations of the dust concentration and its difference between heights 3 and 15 m during blowing dust event of March 15–16 and dust storm event of March 27–28 are illustrated in Fig. 5. The difference of dust concentration between heights 3 and 15 m varies in a range of ±20 μg m⁻³ on blowing dust days. During duststorm events, the difference of dust concentration ranges from −100 to 200 μg m⁻³. The value of the difference $\Delta D_C = D_{C,3m} - D_{C,15m}$ is positive at the dust outbreak stage during the blowing dust and duststorm events, i.e., the dust concentration at 3-m level is higher than that at 15-m level as the dust concentration begins to rise, and dust particles are transported upward. With the evolvement of the dust events, the dust concentration at 3-m level falls being lower than that at 15-m level, which is much clearer for duststorm events. The possible reasons are analysed as follows: once the dust particle is in motion, its path depends on the budget between the gravity force and the aerodynamic drag (Clark et al., 2004), and the latter is closely related to the wind velocity. The aerodynamic drag is larger at higher wind speeds, and larger dust particles can be lifted to a certain level apart from the surface. When aerodynamic drag decreases with the decreasing wind speed, the larger dust particles deposit under the gravity force, while the smaller dust particles remain suspended in the air. Thus the dust concentration at 3-m level is higher than that at 15-m level at the beginning of dust events. With the decreasing of the wind speed, the inverse situation may appear. The simplifying assumptions supposed here are that the density of dust particles is uniform, and the gravity of dust particles mainly depends on the particle size.

Figure 6 shows the temporal variations of dust flux during non-dusty, blowing dust, and duststorm days in March 2004. The values of dust flux differ under different weather conditions. It varies in a range of ±5 μg m⁻² s⁻¹ under non-dusty weather conditions. The dust emission amounts on March 12 and 24 are 56.32 and 54.39 mg m⁻², respectively. The value of dust flux during blowing dust period is between −30 and 30 μg m⁻² s⁻¹, and the dust emission amounts on March 15 and 16 are 79.75 and 179.48 mg m⁻², respectively. The value of dust flux during dust storm period ranges from −200 to 300 μg m⁻² s⁻¹, with dust emission amounts 455.32 and 76.11 mg m⁻² on March 27 and 28, respectively. Slight upward dust transport can be observed during non-dusty days over the Hunshandake desert area. And the dust emission

![Fig. 5. Temporal variations of the dust concentration ($D_C$) and its difference ($\Delta D_C$) between heights 3 and 15 m during (a) blowing dust event of March 15–16 and (b) duststorm event of March 27–28, 2004.](image-url)
amounts are different during blowing dust and dust-storm events. Shen Zhibao et al. (2003) estimated the dust emission rates over the Gobi desert in Dunhuang during two weak dust events occurring in April 2002, and concluded that the maximum dust emission rate was $2.77 \times 10^{-8}$ kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is comparable to the values obtained during blowing dust event of 15–16 March 2004 over the Hunshandake desert area.

Dust flux gradually varies from positive to negative at the dust outbreak stage. This suggests that the upward dust transport is a dominant process at the beginning stage, as the dust event continues, the upward and downward transports tend to be balanced, and finally deposition prevails.

4.3 Dust emission and its relationship with friction velocity and wind speed

Surface friction velocity ($u_*$) is one of the key parameters to determine dust emission in source regions (Gillette and Passi, 1988; Lu and Shao, 1999; Shen Yanbo et al., 2003). Wind tunnel experiments and field observations show that a friction velocity exceeding a given threshold is necessary to initiate particle motion, which is called threshold friction velocity ($u_{*t}$). Several investigations (Gillette and Passi, 1988; Shao et al., 1993; 1996) suggested that the vertical dust flux is proportional to $u_*^n$, with $n$ ranging from 2 to 5. Taking the soil surface strength into consideration, Lu and Shao (1999) pointed out that for hard surfaces the dust emission rate is more likely to be proportional to $u_*^3$, while for soft surfaces, the dust emission rate is more likely to be proportional to $u_*^4$.

A large data scatter exists when field measurements of dust emission rate are plotted against $u_*$, due to the large variation in soil conditions, both $F/u_3^2$ and $F/u_4^3$ relationships can be observed.

The relationship between the vertical dust flux and friciton velocity over the Hunshandake desert area is obtained by using the least square regression method (Fig.7), and it can be expressed as

$$\log u_* = 0.3415 \cdot \log F - 0.5877.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Thus after some manipulations, we obtain

$$F = 10^{1.72} \cdot u_*^{2.93}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

It is found that the vertical dust flux is approximately proportional to the third power of $u_*$ over the Hunshandake desert area during dust events, with correlation coefficient $R=0.748$. Shen Zhibao et al. (2003) reported that the dust emission rate $F$ is
Fig. 7. The relationship between dust flux and friction velocity over the Hunshandake desert area in March 2004.

proportional to \( u^2 \) over the Gobi desert in Dunhuang. This suggests that the source strength is different over the two dust source areas.

Figure 8 shows the relationships between dust concentration and wind speed, and friction velocity over the Hunshandake desert area on 16 and 27 March 2004, respectively. The dashed lines separate each of the figures into two parts. The dust concentration increases with the increasing wind speed or friction velocity for the right parts. While for the left parts, the distribution of dust concentration is mainly concentrated into two groups, with wind speed below 3 m s\(^{-1}\) and wind speed above 7.5 m s\(^{-1}\). The similar distribution was observed on March 27. The former group with lower wind speed is attributed to the background concentration, and the latter one is related to the pre-emission stage. The threshold wind speeds for dust emission are 6.5 and 5.6 m s\(^{-1}\) for the blowing dust and duststorm events, respectively. And the threshold friction velocities for the two cases are 0.43 and 0.39 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively. We therefore estimate that the threshold values of wind speed and friction velocity over the Hunshandake desert area are 6 and

Fig. 8. Relationships between dust concentration and wind speed \( u \) (a, c), and friction velocity \( u_* \) (b, d) over the Hunshandake desert area on 16 and 27 March 2004, respectively.
0.4 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. Shen Yanbo et al. (2003) estimated that the threshold wind speed and threshold friction velocity over the desert in Dunhuang are 7 and 0.5 m s$^{-1}$, respectively, which are slightly larger than the values over the Hunshandake desert area. This is due to the different soil particle size distributions over the two dust source areas, with finer soil particles over the Hunshandake desert area (Cheng et al., 2005).

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have analysed the characteristics of dust concentration, turbulent properties, and dust flux, based on the data during IOP in the spring of 2004 over the Hunshandake desert area. The values of threshold wind speed and threshold friction velocity are estimated. The main conclusions are as follows:

(1) The turbulent viscosity of momentum $K_M$ during dust events is much larger than that during non-dusty days, with mean maximum values 1.1 and 0.3 m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. During daytime under clear skies, thermal turbulence exceeds dynamic turbulence and the sensible heat transfer is a dominant turbulent exchange process. Dynamic turbulence increases significantly during dust events, and dominates over thermal turbulence during the severe duststorm event. The turbulent transfer of momentum and sensible heat both contribute greatly to the turbulent exchanges. During the blowing dust and the duststorm events, the momentum flux increases markedly, while the sensible heat flux decreases by different degrees.

(2) At the outbreak stage of the dust events, the dust concentration at 3-m level is larger than that at 15-m level, indicating an upward transport of dust particles. After the dust concentration attaining its maximum, the difference of dust concentration between heights 3 and 15 m gradually changes from positive to negative, due to the deposition of large dust particles.

(3) The values of dust flux range in $-5$ to $5$, $-30$ to $30$, and $-200$ to $300$ $\mu$g m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ during non-dusty days, blowing dust, and duststorm events, respectively. A slight upward transport of dust is measured during non-dusty days over the Hunshandake desert area. The value of dust flux gradually varies from positive to negative, which indicates the time evolution of dust events from dust rising to stably suspending and then deposition.

(4) The dust flux is approximately proportional to the third power of friction velocity over the Hunshandake desert area, and the expression is in the form $F = C \cdot u^3_s$. The threshold values of wind speed and friction velocity are 6 and 0.4 m s$^{-1}$, respectively.
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